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1. Experimental procedures for the synthesis of amine intermediates amine(4a-4e). First, the reaction of 1-benzylpiperidin-4-one s1 with hydroxylamine hydrochloride, in the presence of potassium carbonate, produced oxime $\mathbf{s 2}$, which was reduced with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ to produce amine 4a. Separately, s1 reacted with diethyl cyanomethylphosphonate in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to produce unsaturated nitrile $\mathbf{s 3}$. The hydrogenation of compound $\mathbf{s} 3$ catalysed by $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{C}$ yielded nitrile $\mathbf{s} 4$, which was reduced with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to produce amine $\mathbf{4 c}$. The synthesis of amine $4 \mathbf{e}$ was similar to that of amine $\mathbf{4 c}$. The Wittig reaction of $\mathbf{s 1}$ with triethyl phosphonoacetate produced unsaturated ester $\mathbf{~ 5 5}$, which underwent hydrogenation, reduction by $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ and oxidation to make aldehyde s6, successively. Following the same procedure used to prepare amine $\mathbf{4 c}$, compound $\mathbf{s 6}$ was converted to amine $\mathbf{4 e}$, which has four carbon spacers between the piperidine ring and the amino group. Using 1-benzylpiperidine-4-carbaldehyde as the starting material, amine $\mathbf{4 d}$ was obtained by the above method. Amine 4b was obtained by benzylation of piperidine-4-carboxamide and subsequent reduction with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$.


Scheme S1. Synthesis of amine intermediates 4a-4e. Reagents and conditions: (a) Hydroxylamine hydrochloride, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}$, reflux; (c) Diethyl cyanomethylphosphonate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF; (d) $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$; (e) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) Triethyl phosphonoacetate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF; (g) Oxalyl chloride, DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (j) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, toluene.

1-benzylpiperidin-4-one oxime (s2). ${ }^{1}$ 1-Benzyl-4-piperidone ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 21.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{EtOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a mixture of hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(2.95 \mathrm{~g}$, $42.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.85 \mathrm{~g}, 42.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h and was filtered after cooling. The residue was washed with EtOH , and the filtrate was evaporated to yield oxime ( $\mathbf{s} 2$ ) $(3.81 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a solid, which was used without further purification.

4-benzylcyclohexanamine (4a). 1-Benzylpiperidin-4-one oxime (s2) (2.04 g, 10
mmol) in dry THF ( 5 mL ) was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 20 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was refluxed for 16 h . After cooling to room temperature, 1.0 mL of water was added slowly, and the solution was stirred for 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One millilitre of $15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ was added, the solution was stirred for another 15 min and 3.0 mL water was added. The mixture was filtered and washed with EtOAc and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated, resulting in a colourless oil (4a) ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) that was used without further purification.

1-benzylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (s10). ${ }^{2}$ Benzyl bromide ( $13.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 110$ mmol ) was added slowly to a mixture of commercial piperidine-4-carboxamide (s9) $(12.8 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15.12 \mathrm{~g}, 180 \mathrm{mmol})$ and toluene $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h and filtered after cooling. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to yield the crude product. The brown solid was crystallised from acetone and MeOH to produce pure product (s10) ( $16.3 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ).
(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)methanamine (4b) 1-Benzylpiperidine-4-carboxamide ( $\mathbf{( 1 0 )}$ ) $(3.27 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 mL ) was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was refluxed for 5 h . In a procedure similar to the production of compound $\mathbf{4 a}$, compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ was produced as a colourless oil ( $0.624 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ) that was used without further purification.

General procedure for the synthesis of amine (4c, 4d, 4e) from an aldehyde or ketone. ${ }^{2}$
(1) A mixture of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{eq})$ and diethyl cyanomethylphosphonate (1.2 eq) in dry THF was stirred at room temperature for 15 min and refluxed for 20 min . After cooling, the aldehyde or ketone ( 1 eq ) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 12 h. After cooling, a $10 \% \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography, and a white solid was obtained.
(2) To a solution of the above product in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{C}$ was added, filling the hydrogen to 300 psi . The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 10 h . The solution was then filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to produce a colourless oil, which was used without further purification.
(3) The product from step 2 in dry THF was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . In a process similar to the production of compound $\mathbf{3}$, the amines were obtained and used without further purification.

2-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)ethanamine (4c) Commercial 1-benzyl-4-piperidone was used as the starting reactant to make oil 2-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl) ethanamine (4c), yield: $61 \%$.

3-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)propan-1-amine (4d) Commercial 1-benzyl-4-formylpiperidine was used as the starting reactant to make 3-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)propan-1-amine,yield: 64\%.

4-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)butan-1-amine (4e) 2-(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl) acetaldehyde ${ }^{3}$ was used as the starting reactant to make

4-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)butan-1-amine, yield: 56\%.

## 2. HPLC and FT-IR spectrum of the target compounds

### 2.1 HPLC spectrum

5a


5b
mV


| peak\# | retention time | area | area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 7.353 | 2527 | 0.016 |
| 2 | 10.446 | 28632 | 0.183 |
| 3 | 12.387 | 1552 | 0.010 |
| 4 | 14.186 | 15549616 | 99.582 |
| 5 | 17.091 | 3438 | 0.022 |
| 6 | 18.264 | 16570 | 0.106 |
| 7 | 20.559 | 12546 | 0.080 |
| total |  | 15614881 | 100.000 |

mV


| peak\# | retention time | area | area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 7.121 | 16464 | 0.147 |
| 2 | 13.811 | 7391 | 0.066 |
| 3 | 17.370 | 692 | 0.006 |
| 4 | 18.694 | 180496 | 1.615 |
| 5 | 20.391 | 10971566 | 98.165 |
| total |  | 11176609 | 100.000 |

5d
mV


mv


| peak\# | retention time | area | area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 7.501 | 2271 | 0.052 |
| 2 | 13.920 | 3006 | 0.068 |
| 3 | 17.407 | 3225 | 0.073 |
| 4 | 17.993 | 2294 | 0.052 |
| 5 | 25.818 | 4396982 | 99.755 |
| total |  | 4407777 | 100.000 |




| peak\# | retention time | area | area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 7.359 | 101580 | 1.025 |
| 2 | 8.466 | 35899 | 0.362 |
| 3 | 15.999 | 9776487 | 98.613 |
| total |  | 9913966 | 100.000 |


7d

8
mV


| peak\# | retention time | area | area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 15.058 | 166413 | 0.943 |
| 2 | 17.891 | 17442648 | 98.855 |
| 3 | 19.529 | 19136 | 0.108 |
| 4 | 39.898 | 16456 | 0.093 |
| total |  | 17644653 | 100.000 |
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### 2.2. FT-IR spectrum

5a
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5b


| D:lluozonghual32-2.0 固体 | $28 / 03 / 2013$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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5d


| D：lluozonghual387－1．0 | 固体 | $28 / 03 / 2013$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| D：lluozonghual378－2．0 | 固体 | $28 / 03 / 2013$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| D:lluozonghual387-2.0 | 固体 | $28 / 03 / 2013$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| D：lluozonghual30．0 | 固体 | $28 / 03 / 2013$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| D：lluozonghual36．0 | 固体 | 28／03／2013 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## 3. Coupled Reductase Assay

Procedure: Phosphate buffer solution of pH 7.5 was taken in 1 mL cuvette. GSH(2 $\mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{NADPH}(0.4 \mathrm{mM}), \operatorname{GR}(1.3 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL})$ were added into cuvette contained buffer solution. Finally, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mM})$ was added to initiate the reaction in a cuvette having mixture of all and immediately start the experiment for the control values in absence of any catalyst. For the test samples $(80 \mu \mathrm{M})$, solution was made in MeOH and added into cuvette containing the mixture of buffer solution, GSH, NADPH and GR. Now, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was added to initiate the reaction.

Table S1. Control values (in the absence of the catalyst) in the coupled reductase assay. Catalyzed reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by GSH: GSH ( 2 mM ), NADPH ( 0.4 mM ), GR ( $1.3 \mathrm{unit} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mM})$, at pH 7.5 in MeOH .


| Sr. No. | $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{min}$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0583 | 0.318 | 51.1254 |  |
| 2 | 0.053 | 0.289091 | 46.47764 | $49.5 \pm 2.6$ |
| 3 | 0.0579 | 0.315818 | 50.77463 |  |

Table S2. Reduction rate ( $v_{0}$ ) of $\mathbf{7 d}$ in the coupled reductase assay in the coupled reductase assay. Catalyzed reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by GSH: GSH ( 2 mM ), NADPH ( 0.4 $\mathrm{mM})$, $\mathrm{GR}(1.3 \mathrm{unit} / \mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mM})$ and $7 \mathrm{~d}(80 \mu \mathrm{M})$, at pH 7.5 in MeOH .


| Sr. No. | $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{min}$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1270 | 0.6930 | 111.37 |  |
| 2 | 0.1445 | 0.7882 | 126.7173 | $123.5 \pm 10.9$ |
| 3 | 0.1510 | 0.8236 | 132.4174 |  |

Table S3. Reduction rate $\left(v_{0}\right)$ of $\mathbf{8}$ in the coupled reductase assay in the coupled reductase assay. Catalyzed reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by GSH: GSH ( 2 mM ), NADPH ( 0.4 $\mathrm{mM})$, $\mathrm{GR}(1.3 \mathrm{unit} / \mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathbf{8}(80 \mu \mathrm{M})$, at pH 7.5 in MeOH .


| Sr. No. | $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{min}$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0944 | 0.514909 | 82.78281 |  |
| 2 | 0.1011 | 0.551455 | 88.65829 | $86.1 \pm 3.0$ |
| 3 | 0.0989 | 0.539455 | 86.72903 |  |

Table S4. Reduction rate ( $v_{0}$ ) of 9 in the coupled reductase assay in the coupled reductase assay. Catalyzed reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by GSH: GSH ( 2 mM ), NADPH ( 0.4 $\mathrm{mM})$, GR ( $1.3 \mathrm{unit} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathbf{9}(80 \mu \mathrm{M})$, at pH 7.5 in MeOH .


Table S5. Reduction rate $\left(v_{0}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 0}$ in the coupled reductase assay in the coupled reductase assay. Catalyzed reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by GSH: GSH ( 2 mM ), NADPH ( 0.4 mM ), GR ( $1.3 \mathrm{unit} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathbf{1 0}(80 \mu \mathrm{M})$, at pH 7.5 in MeOH .


| Sr. No. | $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{min}$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1055 | 0.575455 | 92.51681 |  |
| 2 | 0.1115 | 0.608182 | 97.77843 | $97.1 \pm 4.3$ |
| 3 | 0.1152 | 0.628364 | 101.0231 |  |

Table S6. Reduction rate ( $v_{0}$ ) of ebselen in the coupled reductase assay in the coupled reductase assay. Catalyzed reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by GSH: GSH ( 2 mM ), NADPH ( 0.4 $\mathrm{mM})$, GR ( $1.3 \mathrm{unit} / \mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mM})$ and ebselen $(80 \mu \mathrm{M})$, at pH 7.5 in MeOH .


| Sr. No. | $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{min}$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1372 | 0.748364 | 120.3157 |  |
| 2 | 0.1397 | 0.762 | 122.508 | $121.3 \pm 1.1$ |
| 3 | 0.1382 | 0.753818 | 121.1926 |  |

Table S7. Reduction rate ( $v_{0}$ ) of donepezil in the coupled reductase assay in the coupled reductase assay. Catalyzed reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by GSH: GSH ( 2 mM ), NADPH ( 0.4 mM ), GR ( $1.3 \mathrm{unit} / \mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{mM})$ and donepezil $(80 \mu \mathrm{M})$, at pH 7.5 in MeOH .


| Sr. No. | $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{min}$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \min ^{-1}\right)$ | $v_{0}\left(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.054 | 0.294545 | 47.35457 |  |
| 2 | 0.0484 | 0.264 | 42.44373 | $46.1 \pm 3.2$ |
| 3 | 0.0553 | 0.301636 | 48.49459 |  |

4. Tables of results for the PAMPA

Table S8 Permeability $\left(P_{\mathrm{e}} \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ in the PAMPA-BBB assay for 13 commercial drugs, used in the Experiment Validation.

| Commercial drugs | $\mathrm{Bibl}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | PBS : EtOH $(70: 30)^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| testosterone | 17 | $22.3 \pm 1.4$ |
| verapamil | 16 | $21.2 \pm 1.9$ |
| desipramine | 12 | $16.4 \pm 1.2$ |
| progesterone | 9.3 | $17.7 \pm 1.2$ |
| promazine | 8.8 | $14.3 \pm 0.5$ |
| chlorpromazine | 6.5 | $6.0 \pm 0.3$ |
| clonidine | 2.5 | $5.1 \pm 0.3$ |
| piroxicam | 1.9 | $0.24 \pm 0.01$ |
| hydrocortisone | 1.1 | $0.65 \pm 0.01$ |
| lomefloxacin | 0.8 | $0.37 \pm 0.02$ |
| atnolol | 0.8 | $0.78 \pm 0.02$ |
| ofloxacin | 0.1 | $0.37 \pm 0.02$ |
| theophylline | $0.26 \pm 0.01$ |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Taken from reference $4 .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data are the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ of three independent experiments


Figure S1. Lineal correlation between experimental and reported permeability of commercial drugs using the PAMPA-BBB assay. $P_{\mathrm{e}}$ (exp.) $=1.4574 P \mathrm{e}$ (bibl.) -1.0773 ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9427$ )

Table S9. Ranges of Permeability of PAMPA-BBB Assays ( $P_{\mathrm{e}}, 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ )
Compounds of high BBB permeation (CNS + ) $\quad P_{\mathrm{e}}>4.7$
Compounds of uncertain BBB permeation (CNS+/-) $\quad 4.7>P_{\mathrm{e}}>1.8$

Compounds of low BBB permeation (CNS-) $\quad P_{\mathrm{e}}<1.8$
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